

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/617,884	Applicant(s) HARREKILDE-PETERSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Kenneth R. Hartmann	Art Unit 2616	

All Participants:

(1) Kenneth R. Hartmann.

(2) Daniel M. Cavanagh.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2007
Time: 3:45 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Restriction of the case. Applicant was offered to elect claims 1-48 or claims 49-84. Applicant chose to elect claims 1-48 without traverse.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)